TRANSMITTAL

Address to:
Commissioner for Patents

Box RCE

Washington, DC 20231

Application Number	09/827,495
Filing Date	April 6, 2001
First Named Inventor	L. Delgado-Herrera
Art Unit	1617
Examiner Name	San-ming Hui
Attorney Docket Number	6688.US.01

This is a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) unc'er 37 CFR 1.114 of the above-identified application. Request for Continued Examination (RCE) practice under 37 CFR 1.114 do s not apply to any utility or plant application filed prior to June 8, 1995, or to any design application. See Instruction Sheet for RCEs (not to be submitted to the USPTO) on page 2.	
1. Submission required under 37 CFR 1.114 a. Previously submitted i. Consider the amendment(s)/reply under 37 CFR 1.116 previously filed on (Any unentered amendment(s) referred to above will be entered). ii. Consider the arguments in the Appeal Brief or Rep.y Brief previously filed on iii. Other b. Enclosed i. Amendment/Reply iii. Information Disclosure Statement (IDS) ii. Affidavit(s)/Declaration(s) iv. Cther Return Receipt Postcard & 6 References 2. Miscellaneous a. Suspension of action on the above-identified application is requested under 37 CFR 1.103(c) for a period of months. (Period of suspension shall not exceed 3 months; Fee under 37 CFR 1.17(i) required) b. Other 3. Fees The RCE fee under 37 CFR 1.17(e) is required by 37 CFR 1.114 when the RCE is filed. a. The Director is hereby authorized to charge the following fees, or credit any overpayments, to Deposit Account No. 01-0025 i. RCE fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(e)	
ii. Extension of time fee (37 CFR 1.136 and 1.17) 01 FC::1801 750::00 CH iii. Other	
b. Check in the amount of \$ enclosed c. Payment by credit card (Form PTO-2038 enclosed) WARNING: Information on this form may become public. Credit card information should not be included on this form. Provide credit card information and authorization on PTO-2038.	
SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT REQUIRED	
Name (Print/Type) Patricia R. Coleman James Registration No. (Attorney/Agent) 37155 Signature Date January 29, 2003	
CERTIFICATE OF MAILING OR TRANSMISSION	
I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner For Patents, Box RCE, Washington, DC 20231, or facsimile transmitted to the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office on the date shown below.	
Name (Print/Type) Robin S. Evansy (
Signature Date January 29, 2003	

Burden Hour Statement: This form is estimated to take 0.2 hours to complete. Time will vary depending upon the needs of the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you are required to complete this form should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, Washington, DC 20231. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND Fees and Completed Forms to the following address: Assistant Commissioner for Patents, Box RCE, Washington, DC 20231.

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

pplicant(s): L. Delgado-Herrera, et al.

Serial No.: 09/827,495

Filed: April 6, 2001

For: TREAMENT OF ICU-ASSOCIATED

HYPOCALCEMIA WITH VITAMIN D

COMPOUNDS

Case No.: 6688.US.01

Examiner: San Ming R. Hui

Group Art Unit: 1617

Commissioner for Patents

Box RCE

Washington, D.C. 20231

I hereby certify that this paper (along with any paper referred to as being attached or enclosed) is being deposited with the United States Postal Service on the date shown below with sufficient postage as First Class Mail in an envelope addressed to:

PATENT

Commissioner for Patents

Box RCE

Washington, D.C. 20231, on:

Date of Deposit: January 29, 2003

obin S. Evans

RESPONSE

Dear Sir:

The following is in response to the Examiner's Final Action (Paper No. 8) mailed May 16, 2002 in the subject application.

REMARKS

This Response is in reply to the Office Action mailed on May 16, 2002.

Claims 1 and 3-9 are pending. Reconsideration of the final rejection is respectfully requested in view of the Remarks that follow.

Rejections under Section 112

Claims 1, 3-6 and 8 stand rejected under Section 112, first paragraph on the basis that the "specification does not enable those skilled in the art to use the claimed invention commensurate in scope with these claims." The Office Action states that the specification fails to provide sufficient information for one skilled in the art to practice the invention without undue experimentation and an "exhaustive search" for embodiments would be required since the specification does not define the recited phrases "Vitamin D2 derivatives" and "Vitamin D3 derivatives".

Applicants disagree. First, those of ordinary skill understand the cited phrases in the context of Applicants' claimed invention and as described in the specification. The rationale of the rejection overlooks the fact that those of ordinary skill in the relevant art routinely reference a readily accessible and active research and patent literature concerning the various